Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) Request for Comments: 8130 Category: Standards Track ISSN: 2070-1721 V. Demjanenko D. Satterlee VOCAL Technologies, Ltd. March 2017

RTP Payload Format for the Mixed Excitation Linear Prediction Enhanced (MELPe) Codec

Abstract

This document describes the RTP payload format for the Mixed Excitation Linear Prediction Enhanced (MELPe) speech coder. MELPe's three different speech encoding rates and sample frame sizes are supported. Comfort noise procedures and packet loss concealment are described in detail.

Status of This Memo

This is an Internet Standards Track document.

This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on Internet Standards is available in Section 2 of RFC 7841.

Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8130.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track

[Page 1]

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction
	1.1. Conventions
2.	Background
3.	Payload Format
	3.1. MELPE Bitstream Definitions
	3.1.1. 2400 bps Bitstream Structure
	3.1.2. 1200 bps Bitstream Structure9
	3.1.3. 600 bps Bitstream Structure
	3.2. MELPe Comfort Noise Bitstream Definition
	3.3. Multiple MELPe Frames in an RTP Packet
	3.4. Congestion Control Considerations
Λ	Payload Format Parameters
ч.	4.1. Media Type Definitions
	4.2. Mapping to SDP
	4.3. Declarative SDP Considerations
_	4.4. Offer/Answer SDP Considerations25
6.	
7.	IANA Considerations26
9.	References
	9.1. Normative References27
	9.2. Informative References
Au	thors' Addresses

1. Introduction

This document describes how compressed Mixed Excitation Linear Prediction Enhanced (MELPe) speech as produced by the MELPe codec may be formatted for use as an RTP payload. Details are provided to packetize the three different codec bitrate data frames (2400, 1200, and 600) into RTP packets. The sender may send one or more codec data frames per packet, depending on the application scenario or based on transport network conditions, bandwidth restrictions, delay requirements, and packet loss tolerance.

1.1. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Best current practices for writing an RTP payload format specification were followed [RFC2736].

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track [Page 2]

2. Background

The MELP speech coder was developed by the US military as an upgrade from the LPC-based CELP standard vocoder for low-bitrate communications [MELP]. ("LPC" stands for "Linear-Predictive Coding", and "CELP" stands for "Code-Excited Linear Prediction".) MELP was further enhanced and subsequently adopted by NATO as MELPe for use by its members and Partnership for Peace countries for military and other governmental communications [MELPE]. The MELP speech coder algorithm was developed by Atlanta Signal Processing (ASPI), Texas Instruments (TI), SignalCom (now Microsoft), and Thales Communications, with noise preprocessor contributions from AT&T, under contract with NSA/DOD as international NATO Standard STANAG 4591 [MELPE].

Commercial/civilian applications have arisen because of the low-bitrate property of MELPe with its (relatively) high intelligibility. As such, MELPe is being used in a variety of wired and radio communications systems. Voice over IP (VoIP) / SIP systems need to transport MELPe without decoding and re-encoding in order to preserve its intelligibility. Hence, it is desirable and necessary to define the proper payload formatting and use conventions of MELPe in RTP payloads.

The MELPe codec [MELPE] supports three different vocoder bitrates: 2400, 1200, and 600 bps. The basic 2400 bps bitrate vocoder uses a 22.5 ms frame of speech consisting of 180 8000-Hz, 16-bit speech samples. The 1200 and 600 bps bitrate vocoders each use three and four 22.5 ms frames of speech, respectively. These reduced-bitrate vocoders internally use multiple 2400 bps parameter sets with further processing to strategically remove redundancy. The payload sizes for each of the bitrates are 54, 81, and 54 bits for the 2400, 1200, and 600 bps frames, respectively. Dynamic bitrate switching is permitted but only if supported by both endpoints.

The MELPe algorithm distinguishes between voiced and unvoiced speech and encodes each differently. Unvoiced speech can be coded with fewer information bits for the same quality. Forward error correction (FEC) is applied to the 2400 bps codec unvoiced speech for better protection of the subtle differences in signal reconstruction. The lower-bitrate coders do not allocate any bits for FEC and rely on strong error protection and correction in the communications channel.

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track

[Page 3]

Comfort noise handling for MELPe follows the procedures in Appendix B of SCIP-210 [SCIP210]. After Voice Activity Detection (VAD) no longer indicates the presence of speech/voice, a minimum of two comfort noise vocoder frames (serving as a grace period) are to be transmitted. The contents of the comfort noise frames are described in the next section.

Packet loss concealment (PLC) exploits the FEC (and, more precisely, any combination of two set bits in the pitch/voicing parameter) of the 2400 bps speech coder. The pitch/voicing parameter has a sparse set of permitted values. A value of zero indicates a non-voiced frame. At least three bits are set for all valid pitch parameters. The PLC erasure indication utilizes any errored/erasure encodings of the pitch/voicing parameter with exactly two set bits, as described below.

3. Payload Format

The MELPe codec uses 22.5, 67.5, or 90 ms frames with a sampling rate clock of 8 kHz, so the RTP timestamp MUST be in units of 1/8000 of a second.

The RTP payload for MELPe has the format shown in Figure 1. No additional header specific to this payload format is needed. This format is intended for situations where the sender and the receiver send one or more codec data frames per packet.

3 2 1 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 RTP Header one or more frames of MELPe

Figure 1: Packet Format Diagram

The RTP header of the packetized encoded MELPe speech has the expected values as described in [RFC3550]. The usage of the M bit SHOULD be as specified in the applicable RTP profile -- for example, [RFC3551], where [RFC3551] specifies that if the sender does not suppress silence (i.e., sends a frame on every frame interval), the M bit will always be zero. When more than one codec data frame is present in a single RTP packet, the timestamp is, as always, that of the oldest data frame represented in the RTP packet.

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track [Page 4]

The assignment of an RTP payload type for this new packet format is outside the scope of this document and will not be specified here. It is expected that the RTP profile for a particular class of applications will assign a payload type for this encoding, or if that is not done, then a payload type in the dynamic range shall be chosen by the sender.

3.1. MELPe Bitstream Definitions

The total number of bits used to describe one frame of 2400 bps speech is 54, which fits in 7 octets (with two unused bits). For 1200 bps speech, the total number of bits used is 81, which fits in 11 octets (with seven unused bits). For 600 bps speech, the total number of bits used is 54, which fits in 7 octets (with two unused bits). Unused bits, shown below as RSVA, RSVB, etc., are coded as described in Section 3.3 in support of dynamic bitrate switching.

In the MELPe bitstream definitions, the most significant bits are considered priority bits. The intention was that these bits receive greater protection in the underlying communications channel. For IP networks, such additional protection is irrelevant. However, for the convenience of interoperable gateway devices, the bitstreams will be presented identically in IP networks.

3.1.1. 2400 bps Bitstream Structure

According to Table 3 of [MELPE], the 2400 bps MELPe bit transmission order (for clarity, the bit priority is not shown) is as follows:

+	+ Voiced	++ Unvoiced
+	+	++
B_01 B_02 B_03 B_04 B_05 B_06 B_06 B_07 B_08	g20 BP0 P0 LSF20 LSF30 g23 g24 LSF35	g20 FEC10 P0 LSF20 LSF30 g23 g24 LSF35
B_09	g21	g21
B_10	g22	g22
B_11	P4	P4
B_12	LSF34	LSF34
B_13	P5	P5
B_14	P1	P1
B_15	P2	P2
B_16	LSF40	LSF40
B_17	P6	P6
B_18	LSF10	LSF10
B_19	LSF16	LSF16
B_20	LSF45	LSF45
B_21	P3	P3
B_22	LSF15	LSF15
B_23	LSF14	LSF14
B_24	LSF25	LSF25
B_25	BP3	FEC13
B_26	LSF13	LSF13
B_27	LSF12	LSF12
B_28	LSF24	LSF24
B_29	LSF44	LSF44
B_30	FM0	FEC40
B_31	LSF11	LSF11
B_32	LSF23	LSF23

++	++	++	
B_33	FM7	FEC22	
B_34	FM6	FEC21	
B_35	FM5	FEC20	
B_36	g11	g11	
B_37	g10	g10	
B_38	BP2	FEC12	
B_39	BP1	FEC11	
B_40	LSF21	LSF21	
+	LSF33	LSF33	
B_42	LSF22	LSF22	
в_43	LSF32	LSF32	
В_44	LSF31	LSF31	
B_45	LSF43	LSF43	
B_46	LSF42	LSF42	
B_47	AF	FEC42	
B_48	LSF41	LSF41	
+	FM4	FEC32	
B_50	FM3	FEC31	
B_51			
B_52	FM1	FEC41	
B_53	g12	g12	
B_54	SYNC	SYNC	
++	+	++	

Notes:

g = Gain BP = Bandpass Voicing P = Pitch/Voicing LSF = Line Spectral Frequencies FEC = Forward Error Correction Parity Bits FM = Fourier Magnitudes AF = Aperiodic Flag B_01 = least significant bit of data set

Table 1: Bitstream Definition for MELPe 2400 bps

[Page 7]

The 2400 bps MELPe RTP payload is constructed as per Figure 2. Note that bit B_01 is placed in the least significant bit (LSB) of the first byte with all other bits in sequence. When filling octets, the least significant bits of the seventh octet are filled with bits B_49 to B_54, respectively.

	MSB 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	LSB 7
+	B_08	B_07	в_06	B_05	B_04	B_03	B_02	B_01
	B_16	B_15	B_14	B_13	B_12	B_11	B_10	B_09
	B_24	B_23	B_22	B_21	в_20	B_19	B_18	B_17
	B_32	B_31	B_30	B_29	B_28	B_27	B_26	B_25
	B_40	в_39	B_38	B_37	в_36	в_35	B_34	B_33
	B_48	в_47	В_46	B_45	B_44 +	B_43	B_42	B_41
 +	RSVA	RSVB	B_54	B_53 +	В_52 +	B_51 +	B_50 +	B_49

Figure 2: Packed MELPe 2400 bps Payload Octets

3.1.2. 1200 bps Bitstream Structure

According to Tables D-9a and D-9b of [MELPE], the 1200 bps MELPe bit transmission order is as follows:

+	+	++
Bit	Modes 1-4 (Voiced)	Mode 5 (Unvoiced)
B_01 B_02 B_03 B_04 B_05 B_06 B_06 B_07 B_08	Syn Pitch&UV0 Pitch&UV1 Pitch&UV2 Pitch&UV3 Pitch&UV4 Pitch&UV5 Pitch&UV6	Syn Pitch&UV0 Pitch&UV1 Pitch&UV2 Pitch&UV3 Pitch&UV4 Pitch&UV5 Pitch&UV6
B_09 B_10 B_11 B_12 B_13 B_14 B_15 B_16	Pitch&UV7 Pitch&UV8 Pitch&UV9 Pitch&UV10 Pitch&UV11 LSP0 LSP1 LSP2	Pitch&UV7 Pitch&UV8 Pitch&UV9 Pitch&UV10 Pitch&UV11 LSP0 LSP1 LSP2
B_17 B_18 B_19 B_20 B_21 B_22 B_23 B_23 B_24	LSP3 LSP4 LSP5 LSP6 LSP7 LSP8 LSP9 LSP10	LSP3 LSP4 LSP5 LSP6 LSP7 LSP8 LSP9 LSP10
B_25 B_26 B_27 B_28 B_29 B_30 B_31 B_32	LSP11 LSP12 LSP13 LSP14 LSP15 LSP16 LSP17 LSP18	LSP11 LSP12 LSP13 LSP14 LSP15 LSP16 LSP17 LSP18

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track

[Page 9]

+		+
+ B_33 B_34 B_35 B_36 B_37 B_38 B_39 B_40 + B_41 B_42 B_43 B_43 B_43	LSP19 LSP20 LSP21 LSP22 LSP23 LSP24 LSP25 LSP26 LSP27 LSP28 LSP29	LSP19 LSP20 LSP21 LSP22 LSP23 LSP24 LSP25 LSP26 GAIN0 GAIN1 GAIN2
B_44 B_45 B_46 B_47 B_48	LSP30 LSP31 LSP32 LSP33 LSP34	GAIN3 GAIN4 GAIN5 GAIN6 GAIN7
B_49 B_50 B_51 B_52 B_53 B_54 B_55 B_56	LSP35 LSP36 LSP37 LSP38 LSP39 LSP40 LSP41 LSP42	GAIN8 GAIN9
B_57 B_58 B_59 B_60 B_61 B_62 B_63 B_63 B_64	GAINO GAIN1 GAIN2 GAIN3 GAIN4 GAIN5 GAIN6 GAIN7	
B_65 B_66 B_67 B_68 B_69 B_70 B_71 B_71 B_72	GAIN8 GAIN9 BP0 BP1 BP2 BP3 BP4 BP5	

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track

[Page 10]

+	++
JITTER FSO FS1	
FS2	
FS3	
FS4	
FS5	
FS6	i i
FS7	++
	FS0 FS1 FS2 FS3 FS4 FS5 FS6

Notes: BP = Bandpass voicing FS = Fourier magnitudes LSP = Line Spectral Pair Pitch&UV = Pitch/voicing GAIN = Gain JITTER = Jitter

Table 2: Bitstream Definition for MELPe 1200 bps

The 1200 bps MELPe RTP payload is constructed as per Figure 3. Note that bit B_01 is placed in the LSB of the first byte with all other bits in sequence. When filling octets, the least significant bit of the eleventh octet is filled with bit B_81 .

[Page 11]

MSB 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	LSB 7
B_08	B_07	B_06	B_05	B_04	B_03	B_02	B_01
B_16	B_15	B_14	B_13	B_12	B_11	B_10	B_09
B_24	B_23	B_22	B_21	в_20	B_19	B_18	B_17
+ B_32	B_31	B_30	B_29	B_28	B_27	B_26	B_25
B_40	B_39	B_38	B_37	B_36	B_35	B_34	B_33
в_48	В_47	B_46	В_45	B_44	B_43	в_42	B_41
B_56	В_55	' В_54 +	' В_53 +	в_52	B_51	' в_50	B_49
B_64	B_63	В_62	В_61 +	В_60	В_59	' В_58 +	B_57
B_72	B_71	в_70	В_69 +	B_68	B_67	B_66	B_65
В_80 +	В_79 +	' В_78 +	' B_77 +	В_76 +	 В_75 +	' В_74 +	B_73
RSVA +	RSVB +	RSVC +	RSV0 +	RSV0 +	RSV0	RSV0 +	B_81 ++

Figure 3: Packed MELPe 1200 bps Payload Octets

[Page 12]

3.1.3. 600 bps Bitstream Structure

According to Tables M-11 to M-16 of [MELPE], the 600 bps MELPe bit transmission order (for clarity, the bit priority is not shown) is as follows:

+	+	+	++
Bit +	Mode 1 (Voiced)	Mode 2 (voiced)	Mode 3 (voiced)
B_01 B_02 B_03 B_04 B_05 B_06 B_06 B_07 B_08	Voicing (4) Voicing (3) Voicing (2) Voicing (1) Voicing (0) LSF1,4 (3) LSF1,4 (2) LSF1,4 (1)	Voicing (4) Voicing (3) Voicing (2) Voicing (1) Voicing (0) Pitch (5) Pitch (4) Pitch (3)	Voicing (4) Voicing (3) Voicing (2) Voicing (1) Voicing (0) Pitch (7) Pitch (6) Pitch (5)
B_09 B_10 B_11 B_12 B_13 B_14 B_15 B_16	LSF1,4 (0) LSF1,3 (3) LSF1,3 (2) LSF1,3 (1) LSF1,3 (0) LSF1,2 (3) LSF1,2 (2) LSF1,2 (1)	Pitch (2) Pitch (1) Pitch (0) LSF1,3 (3) LSF1,3 (2) LSF1,3 (1) LSF1,3 (0) LSF1,2 (3)	Pitch (4) Pitch (3) Pitch (2) Pitch (1) Pitch (0) LSF1,3 (3) LSF1,3 (2) LSF1,3 (1)
B_17 B_18 B_19 B_20 B_21 B_22 B_23 B_24	LSF1,2 (0) LSF1,1 (5) LSF1,1 (4) LSF1,1 (3) LSF1,1 (2) LSF1,1 (1) LSF1,1 (0) LSF2,4 (3)	LSF1,2 (2) LSF1,2 (1) LSF1,2 (0) LSF1,1 (5) LSF1,1 (4) LSF1,1 (3) LSF1,1 (2) LSF1,1 (1)	LSF1,3 (0) LSF1,2 (4) LSF1,2 (3) LSF1,2 (2) LSF1,2 (1) LSF1,2 (1) LSF1,2 (0) LSF1,1 (5) LSF1,1 (4)
B_25 B_26 B_27 B_28 B_29 B_30 B_31 B_32	LSF2,4 (2) LSF2,4 (1) LSF2,4 (0) LSF2,3 (3) LSF2,3 (2) LSF2,3 (1) LSF2,3 (0) LSF2,2 (3)	LSF1,1 (0) LSF2,3 (3) LSF2,3 (2) LSF2,3 (1) LSF2,3 (0) LSF2,2 (4) LSF2,2 (3) LSF2,2 (2)	LSF1,1 (3) LSF1,1 (2) LSF1,1 (1) LSF1,1 (0) LSF2,3 (3) LSF2,3 (2) LSF2,3 (1) LSF2,3 (0)

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track

[Page 13]

B_33	LSF2,2 (2)	LSF2,2 (1)	LSF2,2 (4)
B_34	LSF2,2 (1)	LSF2,2 (0)	LSF2,2 (3)
B_35	LSF2,2 (0)	LSF2,1 (6)	LSF2,2 (2)
B_36	LSF2,1 (5)	LSF2,1 (5)	LSF2,2 (1)
B_37	LSF2,1 (4)	LSF2,1 (4)	LSF2,2 (0)
B_38	LSF2,1 (3)	LSF2,1 (3)	LSF2,1 (5)
B_39	LSF2,1 (2)	LSF2,1 (2)	LSF2,1 (4)
B_40	LSF2,1 (1)	LSF2,1 (1)	LSF2,1 (3)
B_41 B_42 B_43 B_44 B_45 B_46 B_46 B_47 B_48	LSF2,1 (0) GAIN2 (5) GAIN2 (4) GAIN2 (3) GAIN2 (2) GAIN2 (1) GAIN2 (0) GAIN1 (6)	LSF2,1 (0) GAIN2 (5) GAIN2 (4) GAIN2 (3) GAIN2 (2) GAIN2 (1) GAIN2 (0) GAIN1 (6)	LSF2,1 (2) LSF2,1 (1) LSF2,1 (0) GAIN2 (4) GAIN2 (3) GAIN2 (2) GAIN2 (1) GAIN2 (0)
B_49	GAIN1 (5)	GAIN1 (5)	GAIN1 (5)
B_50	GAIN1 (4)	GAIN1 (4)	GAIN1 (4)
B_51	GAIN1 (3)	GAIN1 (3)	GAIN1 (3)
B_52	GAIN1 (2)	GAIN1 (2)	GAIN1 (2)
B_53	GAIN1 (1)	GAIN1 (1)	GAIN1 (1)
B_54	GAIN1 (0)	GAIN1 (0)	GAIN1 (0)

Table 3: Bitstream Definition for MELPe 600 bps (Part 1 of 2)

+	+	L	+
Bit	Mode 4	Mode 5	Mode 6
	(voiced)	(voiced)	(voiced)
B_01	Voicing (4)	Voicing (4)	Voicing (4)
B_02	Voicing (3)	Voicing (3)	Voicing (3)
B_03	Voicing (2)	Voicing (2)	Voicing (2)
B_04	Voicing (1)	Voicing (1)	Voicing (1)
B_05	Voicing (0)	Voicing (0)	Voicing (0)
B_06	Pitch (7)	Pitch (7)	Pitch (7)
B_07	Pitch (6)	Pitch (6)	Pitch (6)
B_08	Pitch (5)	Pitch (5)	Pitch (5)
B_09	Pitch (4)	Pitch (4)	Pitch (4)
B_10	Pitch (3)	Pitch (3)	Pitch (3)
B_11	Pitch (2)	Pitch (2)	Pitch (2)
B_12	Pitch (1)	Pitch (1)	Pitch (1)
B_13	Pitch (0)	Pitch (0)	Pitch (0)
B_14	LSF1,3 (3)	LSF1,3 (3)	LSF1,3 (3)
B_15	LSF1,3 (2)	LSF1,3 (2)	LSF1,3 (2)
B_16	LSF1,3 (1)	LSF1,3 (1)	LSF1,3 (1)
B_17 B_18 B_19 B_20 B_21 B_22 B_23 B_24	LSF1,3 (0) LSF1,2 (3) LSF1,2 (2) LSF1,2 (1) LSF1,2 (0) LSF1,1 (5) LSF1,1 (4) LSF1,1 (3)	LSF1,3 (0) LSF1,2 (4) LSF1,2 (3) LSF1,2 (2) LSF1,2 (1) LSF1,2 (0) LSF1,1 (5) LSF1,1 (4)	LSF1,3 (0) LSF1,2 (4) LSF1,2 (3) LSF1,2 (2) LSF1,2 (1) LSF1,2 (1) LSF1,2 (0) LSF1,1 (6) LSF1,1 (5)
B_25	LSF1,1 (2)	LSF1,1 (3)	LSF1,1 (4)
B_26	LSF1,1 (1)	LSF1,1 (2)	LSF1,1 (3)
B_27	LSF1,1 (0)	LSF1,1 (1)	LSF1,1 (2)
B_28	LSF2,3 (3)	LSF1,1 (0)	LSF1,1 (1)
B_29	LSF2,3 (2)	LSF2,3 (3)	LSF1,1 (0)
B_30	LSF2,3 (1)	LSF2,3 (2)	LSF2,3 (3)
B_31	LSF2,3 (0)	LSF2,3 (1)	LSF2,3 (2)
B_32	LSF2,2 (4)	LSF2,3 (0)	LSF2,3 (1)
+ B_33 B_34 B_35 B_36 B_37 B_38 B_39 B_40	LSF2,2 (3) LSF2,2 (2) LSF2,2 (1) LSF2,2 (0) LSF2,1 (6) LSF2,1 (5) LSF2,1 (4) LSF2,1 (3)	LSF2,2 (4) LSF2,2 (3) LSF2,2 (2) LSF2,2 (1) LSF2,2 (0) LSF2,1 (5) LSF2,1 (4) LSF2,1 (3)	LSF2,3 (0) LSF2,2 (4) LSF2,2 (3) LSF2,2 (2) LSF2,2 (1) LSF2,2 (0) LSF2,1 (6) LSF2,1 (5)

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track

[Page 15]

+4	+	+	++
B_41	LSF2,1 (2)	LSF2,1 (2)	LSF2,1 (4)
B_42	LSF2,1 (1)	LSF2,1 (1)	LSF2,1 (3)
B_43	LSF2,1 (0)	LSF2,1 (0)	LSF2,1 (2)
В_44	GAIN2 (4)	GAIN2 (4)	LSF2,1 (1)
B_45	GAIN2 (3)	GAIN2 (3)	LSF2,1 (0)
B_46	GAIN2 (2)	GAIN2 (2)	GAIN1 (8)
B_47	GAIN2 (1)	GAIN2 (1)	GAIN1 (7)
B_48	GAIN2 (0)	GAIN2 (0)	GAIN1 (6)
+4	+	+	++
B_49	GAIN1 (5)	GAIN1 (5)	GAIN1 (5)
B_50	GAIN1 (4)	GAIN1 (4)	GAIN1 (4)
B_51	GAIN1 (3)	GAIN1 (3)	GAIN1 (3)
B_52	GAIN1 (2)	GAIN1 (2)	GAIN1 (2)
B_53	GAIN1 (1)	GAIN1 (1)	GAIN1 (1)
B_54	GAIN1 (0)	GAIN1 (0)	GAIN1 (0)
+	+	+	++

Notes:

xxxx (0) = LSB xxxx (nbits-1) = MSB LSF1,p = MSVQ* index of the pth stage of the two first frames LSF2,p = MSVQ index of the pth stage of the two last frames GAIN1 = VQ/MSVQ index of the 1st stage GAIN2 = MSVQ index of the 2nd stage * MSVQ: Multi-Stage Vector Quantizer

Table 4: Bitstream Definition for MELPe 600 bps (Part 2 of 2)

The 600 bps MELPe RTP payload is constructed as per Figure 4. Note that bit B_01 is placed in the LSB of the first byte with all other bits in sequence. When filling octets, the least significant bits of the seventh octet are filled with bits B_49 to B_54, respectively.

[Page 16]

MSB 0	1	2	3	4	5	б	LSB 7
B_08	B_07	B_06	B_05	B_04	B_03	B_02	B_01
B_16	B_15	B_14	B_13	B_12	B_11	B_10	B_09
B_24	B_23	B_22	B_21	в_20	B_19	B_18	B_17
B_32	B_31	B_30	B_29	в_28	B_27	B_26	B_25
B_40	в_39	B_38	B_37	B_36	B_35	в_34	B_33
B_48	в_47	B_46	В_45	B_44	B_43	в_42	B_41
RSVA	RSVB	' B_54 +	' В_53 +	В_52 +	' B_51 +	в_50 +	B_49

Figure 4: Packed MELPe 600 bps Payload Octets

[Page 17]

3.2. MELPe Comfort Noise Bitstream Definition

Table B.3-1 of [SCIP210] identifies the usage of MELPe 2400 bps parameters for conveying comfort noise.

+	++		
MELPe Parameter	Value		
<pre> msvq[0] (line spectral frequencies)</pre>	* See Note		
<pre> msvq[1] (line spectral frequencies)</pre>	Set to 0		
<pre> msvq[2] (line spectral frequencies)</pre>	Set to 0		
<pre> msvq[3] (line spectral frequencies)</pre>	Set to 0		
fsvq (Fourier magnitudes)	Set to 0		
gain[0] (gain)	Set to 0		
gain[1] (gain)	* See Note		
pitch (pitch - overall voicing)	Set to 0		
bp (bandpass voicing)	Set to 0		
af (aperiodic flag/jitter index)	Set to 0		
sync (sync bit)	Alternations		
	+		

Note:

The default values are the respective parameters from the vocoder frame. It is preferred that msvq[0] and gain[1] values be derived by averaging the respective parameter from some number of previous vocoder frames.

Table 5: MELPe Comfort Noise Parameters

[Page 18]

Since only msvq[0] (also known as LSF1x or the first LSP) and gain[1] (also known as g2x or the second gain) are needed, the following bit order is used for comfort noise frames:

+	++
Bit	Comfort
i	Noise
+	++
B_01	LSF10
В_02	LSF11
B_03	LSF12
B_04	LSF13
B_05	LSF14
B_06	LSF15
B_07	LSF16
В_08	g20
+	++
в 09	g21
в 10	g22
В 11	g23
ј в 12	g24
Б_13	SYNC
+	, ++

Notes: g = GainLSF = Line Spectral Frequencies

Table 6: Bitstream Definition for MELPe Comfort Noise

The comfort noise MELPe RTP payload is constructed as per Figure 5. Note that bit B_01 is placed in the LSB of the first byte with all other bits in sequence. When filling octets, the least significant bits of the second octet are filled with bits B_09 to B_{13} , respectively.

MSB 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	LSB 7
++- B_08	+ В_07	в_06	++ B_05	в_04	B_03	++ B_02	B_01
RSVA ++-	RSVB	RSVC	B_13 ++	B_12	B_11	B_10 ++	B_09

Figure 5: Packed MELPe Comfort Noise Payload Octets

[Page 19]

3.3. Multiple MELPe Frames in an RTP Packet

A MELPe RTP packet MAY consist of zero or more MELPe coder frames followed by zero or one MELPe comfort noise frame. The presence of a comfort noise frame can be deduced from the length of the RTP payload. The default packetization interval is one coder frame (22.5, 67.5, or 90 ms) according to the coder bitrate (2400, 1200, or 600 bps). For some applications, a longer packetization interval is used to reduce the packet rate.

A MELPe RTP packet comprised of no coder frame and no comfort noise frame MAY be used periodically by an endpoint to indicate connectivity by an otherwise idle receiver.

All MELPe frames in a single RTP packet MUST be of the same coder bitrate. Dynamic switching between frame rates within an RTP stream may be permitted (if supported by both ends) provided that reserved bits RSVA, RSVB, and RSVC are filled in as per Table 7. If bitrate switching is not used, all reserved bits are encoded as 0 by the sender and ignored by the receiver. (RSV0 is always coded as 0.)

 Coder Bitrate	RSVA	RSVB	++ RSVC
2400 bps	0	0	+ N/A
1200 bps	1	0	0
600 bps	0	1	N/A
Comfort Noise	1	0	
(reserved)	1	1	N/A

Table 7: MELPe Frame Bitrate Indicators

It is important to observe that senders have the following additional restrictions:

Senders SHOULD NOT include more MELPe frames in a single RTP packet than will fit in the MTU of the RTP transport protocol.

Frames MUST NOT be split between RTP packets.

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track [Page 20]

[Page 21]

It is RECOMMENDED that the number of frames contained within an RTP packet be consistent with the application. For example, in telephony and other real-time applications where delay is important, then the fewer frames per packet the lower the delay, whereas for bandwidthconstrained links or delay-insensitive streaming messaging applications, more than one frame per packet or many frames per packet would be acceptable.

Information describing the number of frames contained in an RTP packet is not transmitted as part of the RTP payload. The way to determine the number of MELPe frames is to count the total number of octets within the RTP packet and divide the octet count by the number of expected octets per frame (7/11/7 per frame). Keep in mind that the last frame can be a 2-octet comfort noise frame.

When dynamic bitrate switching is used and more than one frame is contained in an RTP packet, it is RECOMMENDED that the coder rate bits contained in the last octet be inspected. If the coder bitrate indicates a comfort noise frame, then inspect the third last octet for the coder bitrate. All MELPe speech frames in the RTP packet will be of this same coder bitrate.

3.4. Congestion Control Considerations

The target bitrate of MELPe can be adjusted at any point in time, thus allowing congestion management. Furthermore, the amount of encoded speech or audio data encoded in a single packet can be used for congestion control, since the packet rate is inversely proportional to the packet duration. A lower packet transmission rate reduces the amount of header overhead but at the same time increases latency and loss sensitivity, so it ought to be used with care.

Since UDP does not provide congestion control, applications that use RTP over UDP SHOULD implement their own congestion control above the UDP layer [RFC8085] and MAY also implement a transport circuit breaker [RFC8083]. Work in the RMCAT working group [RMCAT] describes the interactions and conceptual interfaces necessary between the application components that relate to congestion control, including the RTP layer, the higher-level media codec control layer, and the lower-level transport interface, as well as components dedicated to congestion control functions.

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track

4. Payload Format Parameters

This RTP payload format is identified using the MELP, MELP2400, MELP1200, and MELP600 media subtypes, which are registered in accordance with RFC 4855 [RFC4855] and per the media type registration template from RFC 6838 [RFC6838].

4.1. Media Type Definitions

Type name: audio

Subtype names: MELP, MELP2400, MELP1200, and MELP600

Required parameters: N/A

Optional parameters:

- ptime: the recommended length of time (in milliseconds) represented by the media in a packet. It SHALL use the nearest rounded-up ms integer packet duration. For MELPe, this corresponds to the following values: 23, 45, 68, 90, 112, 135, 156, and 180. Larger values can be used as long as they are properly rounded. See Section 6 of RFC 4566 [RFC4566].
- maxptime: the maximum length of time (in milliseconds) that can be encapsulated in a packet. It SHALL use the nearest rounded-up ms integer packet duration. For MELPe, this corresponds to the following values: 23, 45, 68, 90, 112, 135, 156, and 180. Larger values can be used as long as they are properly rounded. See Section 6 of RFC 4566 [RFC4566].
- bitrate: specifies the MELPe coder bitrates supported. Possible values are a comma-separated list of rates from the following set: 2400, 1200, 600. The modes are listed in order of preference; first is preferred. If "bitrate" is not present, the fixed coder bitrate of 2400 MUST be used. The alternate encoding names "MELP2400", "MELP1200", and "MELP600" directly specify the MELPe coder bitrates of 2400, 1200, and 600, respectively, and MUST NOT specify a "bitrate" parameter.
- Encoding considerations: These media subtypes are framed and binary; see Section 4.8 of RFC 6838 [RFC6838].

Security considerations: Please see Section 8 of RFC 8130.

Interoperability considerations: Early implementations used MELP2400, MELP1200, and MELP600 to indicate both coder type and bitrate. These media type names should be preserved with this registration.

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track [Page 22]

Published specification: N/A Applications that use this media type: N/A Additional information: N/A Deprecated alias names for this type: N/A Magic number(s): N/A File extension(s): N/A Macintosh file type code(s): N/A Person & email address to contact for further information: Victor Demjanenko, Ph.D. VOCAL Technologies, Ltd. 520 Lee Entrance, Suite 202 Buffalo, NY 14228 United States of America Phone: +1 716 688 4675 Email: victor.demjanenko@vocal.com Intended usage: COMMON Restrictions on usage: These media subtypes depend on RTP framing and hence are only defined for transfer via RTP [RFC3550]. Transport within other framing protocols is not defined at this time. Author: Victor Demjanenko Change controller: IETF Payload working group delegated from the IESG. Provisional registration? (standards tree only): No

4.2. Mapping to SDP

The mapping of the above-defined payload format media subtypes and their parameters SHALL be done according to Section 3 of RFC 4855 [RFC4855].

[Page 23]

The information carried in the media type specification has a specific mapping to fields in the Session Description Protocol (SDP) [RFC4566], which is commonly used to describe RTP sessions. When SDP is used to specify sessions employing the MELPe codec, the mapping is as follows:

- o The media type ("audio") goes in SDP "m=" as the media name.
- o The media subtype (payload format name) goes in SDP "a=rtpmap" as the encoding name.
- o The parameter "bitrate" goes in the SDP "a=fmtp" attribute by copying it as a "bitrate=<value>" string.
- o The parameters "ptime" and "maxptime" go in the SDP "a=ptime" and "a=maxptime" attributes, respectively.

When conveying information via SDP, the encoding name SHALL be "MELP" (the same as the media subtype). Alternate encoding name subtypes "MELP2400", "MELP1200", and "MELP600" MAY be used in SDP to convey fixed-bitrate configurations. These names have been observed in systems that do not support dynamic frame-rate switching as specified by the parameter "bitrate".

An example of the media representation in SDP for describing MELPe might be:

m=audio 49120 RTP/AVP 97 a=rtpmap:97 MELP/8000

An alternative example of SDP for fixed-bitrate configurations might be:

m=audio 49120 RTP/AVP 97 100 101 102 a=rtpmap:97 MELP/8000 a=rtpmap:100 MELP2400/8000 a=rtpmap:101 MELP1200/8000 a=rtpmap:102 MELP600/8000

If the encoding name "MELP" is received without a "bitrate" parameter, the fixed coder bitrate of 2400 MUST be used. The alternate encoding names "MELP2400", "MELP1200", and "MELP600" directly specify the MELPe coder bitrates of 2400, 1200, and 600, respectively, and MUST NOT specify a "bitrate" parameter.

The optional media type parameter "bitrate", when present, MUST be included in the "a=fmtp" attribute in the SDP, expressed as a media type string in the form of a semicolon-separated list of

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track [Page 24]

parameter=value pairs. The string "value" can be one or more of 2400, 1200, and 600, separated by commas (where each bitrate value indicates the corresponding MELPe coder). An example of the media representation in SDP for describing MELPe when all three coder bitrates are supported might be:

m=audio 49120 RTP/AVP 97 a=rtpmap:97 MELP/8000 a=fmtp:97 bitrate=2400,600,1200

Parameter "ptime" cannot be used for the purpose of specifying the MELPe operating mode, due to the fact that for certain values it will be impossible to distinguish which mode is about to be used (e.g., when ptime=68, it would be impossible to distinguish if the packet is carrying one frame of 67.5 ms or three frames of 22.5 ms).

Note that the payload format (encoding) names are commonly shown in upper case. Media subtypes are commonly shown in lower case. These names are case insensitive in both places. Similarly, parameter names are case insensitive in both the media subtype name and the default mapping to the SDP a=fmtp attribute.

4.3. Declarative SDP Considerations

For declarative media, the "bitrate" parameter specifies the possible bitrates used by the sender. Multiple MELPe rtpmap values (such as 97, 98, and 99, as used below) MAY be used to convey MELPe-coded voice at different bitrates. The receiver can then select an appropriate MELPe codec by using 97, 98, or 99.

m=audio 49120 RTP/AVP 97 98 99 a=rtpmap:97 MELP/8000 a=fmtp:97 bitrate=2400 a=rtpmap:98 MELP/8000 a=fmtp:98 bitrate=1200 a=rtpmap:99 MELP/8000 a=fmtp:99 bitrate=600

4.4. Offer/Answer SDP Considerations

In the Offer/Answer model [RFC3264], "bitrate" is a bidirectional parameter. Both sides MUST use a common "bitrate" value or values. The offer contains the bitrates supported by the offerer, listed in its preferred order. The answerer MAY agree to any bitrate by listing the bitrate first in the answerer response. Additionally, the answerer MAY indicate any secondary bitrate or bitrates that it supports. The initial bitrate used by both parties SHALL be the first bitrate specified in the answerer response.

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track [Page 25] For example, if offerer bitrates are "2400,600" and answer bitrates are "600,2400", the initial bitrate is 600. If other bitrates are provided by the answerer, any common bitrate between the offer and answer MAY be used at any time in the future. Activation of these other common bitrates is beyond the scope of this document.

The use of a lower bitrate is often important for a case such as when one endpoint utilizes a bandwidth-constrained link (e.g., 1200 bps radio link or slower), where only the lower coder bitrate will work.

5. Discontinuous Transmissions

A primary application of MELPe is for radio communications of voice conversations, and discontinuous transmissions are normal. When MELPe is used in an IP network, MELPe RTP packet transmissions may cease and resume frequently. RTP synchronization source (SSRC) sequence number gaps indicate lost packets to be filled by PLC, while abrupt loss of RTP packets indicates intended discontinuous transmissions.

If a MELPe coder so desires, it may send a comfort noise frame as per Appendix B of [SCIP210] prior to ceasing transmission. A receiver may optionally use comfort noise during its silence periods. No SDP negotiations are required.

6. Packet Loss Concealment

MELPe packet loss concealment (PLC) uses the special properties and coding for the pitch/voicing parameter of the MELPe 2400 bps coder. The PLC erasure indication utilizes any of the errored encodings of a non-voiced frame as identified in Table 1 of [MELPE]. For the sake of simplicity, it is preferred that a code value of 3 for the pitch/voicing parameter (represented by the bits P6 to P0 in Table 1 of this document) be used. Hence, set bits PO and P1 to one and bits P2, P3, P4, P5, and P6 to zero.

When using PLC in 1200 bps or 600 bps mode, the MELPe 2400 bps decoder is called three or four times, respectively, to cover the loss of a MELPe frame.

7. IANA Considerations

IANA has registered MELP, MELP2400, MELP1200, and MELP600 as specified in Section 4.1. IANA has also added these media subtypes to the "RTP Payload Format media types" registry (http://www.iana.org/assignments/rtp-parameters).

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track [Page 26]

8. Security Considerations

RTP packets using the payload format defined in this specification are subject to the security considerations discussed in the RTP specification [RFC3550] and in any applicable RTP profile such as RTP/AVP [RFC3551], RTP/AVPF [RFC4585], RTP/SAVP [RFC3711], or RTP/SAVPF [RFC5124]. However, as discussed in [RFC7202], it is not an RTP payload format's responsibility to discuss or mandate what solutions are used to meet such basic security goals as confidentiality, integrity, and source authenticity for RTP in general. This responsibility lies with anyone using RTP in an application. They can find guidance on available security mechanisms and important considerations in [RFC7201]. Applications SHOULD use one or more appropriate strong security mechanisms. The rest of this section discusses the security-impacting properties of the payload format itself.

This RTP payload format and the MELPe decoder do not exhibit any significant non-uniformity in the receiver-side computational complexity for packet processing and thus are unlikely to pose a denial-of-service threat due to the receipt of pathological data. Additionally, the RTP payload format does not contain any active content.

Please see the security considerations discussed in [RFC6562] regarding VAD and its effect on bitrates.

- 9. References
- 9.1. Normative References
 - [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.
 - [RFC2736] Handley, M. and C. Perkins, "Guidelines for Writers of RTP Payload Format Specifications", BCP 36, RFC 2736, DOI 10.17487/RFC2736, December 1999, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2736>.
 - [RFC3264] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "An Offer/Answer Model with Session Description Protocol (SDP)", RFC 3264, DOI 10.17487/RFC3264, June 2002, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3264>.

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track [Page 27]

- [RFC3550] Schulzrinne, H., Casner, S., Frederick, R., and V. Jacobson, "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", STD 64, RFC 3550, DOI 10.17487/RFC3550, July 2003, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3550>.
- [RFC3551] Schulzrinne, H. and S. Casner, "RTP Profile for Audio and Video Conferences with Minimal Control", STD 65, RFC 3551, DOI 10.17487/RFC3551, July 2003, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3551>.
- [RFC3711] Baugher, M., McGrew, D., Naslund, M., Carrara, E., and K. Norrman, "The Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP)", RFC 3711, DOI 10.17487/RFC3711, March 2004, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3711>.
- [RFC4566] Handley, M., Jacobson, V., and C. Perkins, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", RFC 4566, DOI 10.17487/RFC4566, July 2006, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4566>.
- [RFC4855] Casner, S., "Media Type Registration of RTP Payload Formats", RFC 4855, DOI 10.17487/RFC4855, February 2007, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4855>.
- [RFC5124] Ott, J. and E. Carrara, "Extended Secure RTP Profile for Real-time Transport Control Protocol (RTCP)-Based Feedback (RTP/SAVPF)", RFC 5124, DOI 10.17487/RFC5124, February 2008, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5124>.
- [RFC6562] Perkins, C. and JM. Valin, "Guidelines for the Use of Variable Bit Rate Audio with Secure RTP", RFC 6562, DOI 10.17487/RFC6562, March 2012, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6562>.
- [RFC6838] Freed, N., Klensin, J., and T. Hansen, "Media Type Specifications and Registration Procedures", BCP 13, RFC 6838, DOI 10.17487/RFC6838, January 2013, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6838>.
- [RFC8083] Perkins, C. and V. Singh, "Multimedia Congestion Control: Circuit Breakers for Unicast RTP Sessions", RFC 8083, DOI 10.17487/RFC8083, March 2017, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8083>.
- [RFC8085] Eggert, L., Fairhurst, G., and G. Shepherd, "UDP Usage Guidelines", RFC 8085, DOI 10.17487/RFC8085, March 2017, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8085>.

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track [Page 28]

- [MELP] Department of Defense Telecommunications Standard, "Analog-to-Digital Conversion of Voice by 2,400 Bit/Second Mixed Excitation Linear Prediction (MELP)", MIL-STD-3005, December 1999.
- North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), "The 600 Bit/S, [MELPE] 1200 Bit/S and 2400 Bit/S NATO Interoperable Narrow Band Voice Coder", STANAG No. 4591, January 2006.
- [SCIP210] National Security Agency, "SCIP Signaling Plan", SCIP-210, December 2007.
- 9.2. Informative References
 - [RFC4585] Ott, J., Wenger, S., Sato, N., Burmeister, C., and J. Rey, "Extended RTP Profile for Real-time Transport Control Protocol (RTCP)-Based Feedback (RTP/AVPF)", RFC 4585, DOI 10.17487/RFC4585, July 2006, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4585>.
 - [RFC7201] Westerlund, M. and C. Perkins, "Options for Securing RTP Sessions", RFC 7201, DOI 10.17487/RFC7201, April 2014, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7201>.
 - [RFC7202] Perkins, C. and M. Westerlund, "Securing the RTP Framework: Why RTP Does Not Mandate a Single Media Security Solution", RFC 7202, DOI 10.17487/RFC7202, April 2014, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7202>.
 - [RMCAT] IETF, RTP Media Congestion Avoidance Techniques (rmcat) Working Group, <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/rmcat/about/>.

[Page 29]

Authors' Addresses

Victor Demjanenko, Ph.D. VOCAL Technologies, Ltd. 520 Lee Entrance, Suite 202 Buffalo, NY 14228 United States of America

Phone: +1 716 688 4675 Email: victor.demjanenko@vocal.com

David Satterlee VOCAL Technologies, Ltd. 520 Lee Entrance, Suite 202 Buffalo, NY 14228 United States of America

Phone: +1 716 688 4675 Email: david.satterlee@vocal.com

Demjanenko & Satterlee Standards Track

[Page 30]